arXiv:0709.4515v1 [math.RT] 28 Sep 2007

NILPOTENT ORBITS IN CLASSICAL LIE ALGEBRAS OVER $\mathbf{F}_{2^n}$ AND THE SPRINGER CORRESPONDENCE

TING XUE

Abstract. We give the number of nilpotent orbits in the Lie algebras of orthogonal groups under the adjoint action of the groups over $\mathbf{F}_{2^n}$. Let G be an adjoint algebraic group of type B, C or D defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2. We construct the Springer correspondence for the nilpotent variety in the Lie algebra of G.

Introduction

Throughout this paper, $\mathbf{k}$ denotes an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2, $\mathbf{F}_q$ denotes a finite field of characteristic 2 and $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$ denotes an algebraic closure of $\mathbf{F}_q$.

In [1], Hesselink determines the nilpotent orbits in classical Lie algebras under the adjoint action of classical groups over $\mathbf{k}$. In [2], Spaltenstein gives a parametrization of these nilpotent orbits by pairs of partitions. We extend Hesselink's method to study the nilpotent orbits in the Lie algebras of orthogonal groups over $\mathbf{F}_q$. Using this extension and Spaltenstein's parametrization we obtain the number of the nilpotent orbits over $\mathbf{F}_q$.

Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group over an algebraically closed field and $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of G. When the characteristic of the field is large enough, Springer [3] constructs representations of the Weyl group of G which are related to the nilpotent G-orbits in $\mathfrak{g}$. Lusztig [4] constructs the generalized Springer correspondence which is valid in all characteristics. Let G_{ad} be an adjoint algebraic group of type B, C or D over $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{ad}$ be the Lie algebra of G_{ad}. We use a similar construction as in [4, 5] to give the Springer correspondence for $\mathfrak{g}_{ad}$. Let $\mathcal{N}_{ad}$ be the set of all pairs $(\mathrm{c}, \mathcal{F})$ where c is a nilpotent G_{ad}-orbit in $\mathfrak{g}_{ad}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is an irreducible G_{ad}-equivariant local system on c (up to isomorphism). We construct a bijective map from the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of the Weyl group of G_{ad} to the set $\mathcal{N}_{ad}$. In the case of symplectic group a Springer correspondence (with a different definition than ours) has been established in [6]; in that case centralizers of the nilpotent elements are connected [2]. A complicating feature in the orthogonal case is the existence of non-trivial equivariant local systems on a nilpotent orbit.

Hesselink's classification of nilpotent orbits over an algebraically closed field

We recall Hesselink's results about orthogonal groups in this section.

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field of characteristic 2, not necessarily algebraically closed. A form space V is a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ equipped with a quadratic form $Q : V \to \mathbb{K}$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \to \mathbb{K}$ be the bilinear form $\langle v, w \rangle = Q(v + w) +$

$Q(v)+Q(w)$. Let $V^{\perp}=\{v\in V|\langle v,w\rangle=0,\forall\ w\in V\}$. V is called non-defective if $V^{\perp}=\{0\}$, otherwise, it is called defective. V is called non-degenerate if $V\neq\{0\}$, $\dim(V^{\perp})\leq 1$ and $Q(v)\neq 0$ for all non-zero $v\in V^{\perp}$.

Let V be a non-degenerate form space of dimension N over $\mathbb{K}$. Define the orthogonal group $O(V)$ to be $\{g\in \mathrm{GL}(V)|Q(gv)=Q(v),\ \forall\ v\in V\}$ and define $\mathfrak{o}(V)$ to be $\{x\in\mathrm{End}(V)|\langle xv,v\rangle=0,\ \forall\ v\in V \text{ and } \mathrm{tr}(x)=0\}$. We write $O_N(\mathbb{K})=O(V)$ and $\mathfrak{o}_N(\mathbb{K})=\mathfrak{o}(V)$ when we need not to specify V. In the case where $\mathbb{K}$ is algebraically closed let $SO(V)$ be the identity component of $O(V)$ and write $SO_N(\mathbb{K})=SO(V)$.

An element in $\mathfrak{o}(V)$ is nilpotent if and only if it is nilpotent in $\mathrm{End}(V)$. Let T be a nilpotent element in $\mathfrak{o}(V)$. There exists a unique sequence of integers $p_1\geq\cdots\geq p_s\geq 1$ and a family of vectors $v_1,\ldots,v_s$ such that $T^{p_i}v_i=0$ and the vectors $T^{q_i}v_i$, $0\leq q_i\leq p_i-1$ form a basis of V. We write $p(V,T)=(p_1,\ldots,p_s)$. Define the index function $\chi(V,T):\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{Z}$ by $\chi(V,T)(m)=\min\{k\geq 0|T^m v=0\Rightarrow Q(T^k v)=0\}$.

Define a form module to be a pair (V,T) where V is a non-degenerate form space and T is a nilpotent element in $\mathfrak{o}(V)$. To study the nilpotent $O(V)$-orbits in $\mathfrak{o}(V)$ is equivalent to classify the form modules (V,T) on the form space V. Let $A=\mathbb{K}[[t]]$ and regard (V,T) as an A-module by $(\sum a_n t^n)v=\sum a_n T^n v$. In order to classify the form modules, Hesselink identifies a form module (V,T) with an abstract form module (V,φ,ψ) (for definition see [1]) via $\varphi:V\times V\to E,\ (v,w)\mapsto\sum\langle t^n v,w\rangle t^{-n}$ and $\psi:V\to E_0,\ v\mapsto\sum Q(t^n v)t^{-2n}$, where E is the vector space spanned by the linear functionals $t^{-n}:A\to\mathbb{K},\ \sum a_i t^i\mapsto a_n,\ n\geq 0$, and E_0 is the subspace $\sum_{n\geq 0}\mathbb{K}t^{-2n}$. E is considered as an A-module by $(au)(b)=u(ab)$ for $a,b\in A,u\in E$. We write $V=(V,\varphi,\psi)$ for simplicity. Define $\mu(v)=\min\{k\geq 0|t^k v=0\}$ for an element v in the A-module V (or E).

A form module V is called indecomposable if for every orthogonal decomposition $V=V_1\oplus V_2$ we have $V_1=0$ or $V_2=0$. Every form module V has an orthogonal decomposition into indecomposable submodules $V=\bigoplus_{i=1}^k V_i$. To classify the form modules, the indecomposable ones are classified first.

Proposition 1 ([1]). *Let V be a non-degenerate indecomposable form module. There exist $v_1,v_2\in V$ such that $V=Av_1\oplus Av_2$ and $\mu(v_1)\geq\mu(v_2)$. Put $m=\mu(v_1),m'=\mu(v_2),\Phi=\varphi(v_1,v_2)$ and $\Psi_i=\psi(v_i)$. One of the following conditions holds:*

(i) $m'=\mu(\Phi)=m,\ \ \mu(\Psi_i)\leq 2m-1$;
(ii) $m'=\mu(\Phi)=m-1,\ \mu(\Psi_1)=2m-1>\mu(\Psi_2)$.

Conversely, let $m\in\mathbb{N}$, $m'\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$, $\Phi\in E$, $\Psi_1,\Psi_2\in E_0$ be given satisfying (i) *or* (ii). *Up to a canonical isomorphism there exists a unique form module $V=Av_1\oplus Av_2$ with $m=\mu(v_1),m'=\mu(v_2),\Phi=\varphi(v_1,v_2)$ and $\Psi_i=\psi(v_i)$. This form module is non-degenerate and indecomposable.*

Now assume $\mathbb{K}$ is algebraically closed. The form modules over $\mathbb{K}$ are classified as follows. Let $V=(V,T)$ be a non-degenerate from module with $p(V,T)=(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k,\ldots,\lambda_k)$ where $\lambda_1>\cdots>\lambda_k\geq 1$ and index function $\chi=\chi(V,T)$. Let $m_i\in\mathbb{N}$ be the multiplicity of λ_i in $p(V,T)$. The isomorphism class of V is determined by the symbol

$$S(V,T)=(\lambda_1)^{m_1}_{\chi(\lambda_1)}(\lambda_2)^{m_2}_{\chi(\lambda_2)}\cdots(\lambda_k)^{m_k}_{\chi(\lambda_k)}.$$

A symbol S is the symbol of an isomorphism class of non-degenerate form modules if and only if it satisfies the following conditions

(i) $\chi(\lambda_i) \geq \chi(\lambda_{i+1})$ and $\lambda_i - \chi(\lambda_i) \geq \lambda_{i+1} - \chi(\lambda_{i+1})$, for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$;

(ii) $\frac{\lambda_i}{2} \leq \chi(\lambda_i) \leq \lambda_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, k$;

(iii) $\chi(\lambda_i) = \lambda_i$ if m_i is odd, for $i = 1, \dots, k$;

(iv) $\{\lambda_i | m_i \text{ odd }\} = \{m, m-1\} \cap \mathbb{N}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In the following we denote by a symbol either a form module in the isomorphism class or the corresponding nilpotent orbit.

Isomorphism classes of form modules and nilpotent orbits over $\mathbf{F}_q$

Note that the classification of the indecomposable modules (Proposition 1) is still valid. Similar to [1] Section 3.5, we first normalize the non-degenerate indecomposable form modules over $\mathbf{F}_q$.

Proposition 2. *Fix an element δ in $\mathbf{F}_q$ such that $\delta \notin \{x + x^2 | x \in \mathbf{F}_q\}$. The non-degenerate indecomposable form modules over $\mathbf{F}_q$ are*

(i) *$W_l^0(m) = Av_1 \oplus Av_2$, $[\frac{m+1}{2}] \leq l \leq m$, with $\mu(v_1) = \mu(v_2) = m$, $\psi(v_1) = t^{2-2l}, \psi(v_2) = 0$ and $\varphi(v_1, v_2) = t^{1-m}$;*

(ii) *$W_l^\delta(m) = Av_1 \oplus Av_2$, $\frac{m+1}{2} \leq l \leq m$, with $\mu(v_1) = \mu(v_2) = m$, $\psi(v_1) = t^{2-2l}$, $\psi(v_2) = \delta t^{2l-2m}$ and $\varphi(v_1, v_2) = t^{1-m}$;*

(iii) *$D(m) = Av_1 \oplus Av_2$ with $\mu(v_1) = m, \mu(v_2) = m-1$, $\psi(v_1) = t^{2-2m}$, $\psi(v_2) = 0$ and $\varphi(v_1, v_2) = t^{2-m}$.*

Let V be a non-degenerate form space over $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$. An isomorphism class of form modules on V over $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$ may decompose into several isomorphism classes over $\mathbf{F}_q$.

Proposition 3. *Let W be a form module $(\lambda_1)_{\chi(\lambda_1)}^{m_1}(\lambda_2)_{\chi(\lambda_2)}^{m_2} \cdots (\lambda_k)_{\chi(\lambda_k)}^{m_k}$ on the form space V. Denote by n_1 the cardinality of $\{1 \leq i \leq k-1 | \chi(\lambda_i) + \chi(\lambda_{i+1}) \leq \lambda_i,\ \chi(\lambda_i) \neq \lambda_i/2\}$ and by n_2 the cardinality of $\{1 \leq i \leq k | \chi(\lambda_i) + \chi(\lambda_{i+1}) \leq \lambda_i,\ \chi(\lambda_i) \neq \lambda_i/2\}$ (here define $\chi(\lambda_{k+1}) = 0$).*

(i) *If V is defective, the isomorphism class of W over $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$ decomposes into 2^{n_1} isomorphism classes over $\mathbf{F}_q$.*

(ii) *If V is non-defective, the isomorphism class of W over $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$ decomposes into 2^{n_2} isomorphism classes over $\mathbf{F}_q$.*

Note that we have two types of non-defective form spaces of dimension $2n$ over $\mathbf{F}_q$, V^+ with a quadratic form of Witt index n and V^- with a quadratic form of Witt index $n-1$. We denote $O(V^+)$ $(O(V^-))$ by $O_{2n}^+(\mathbf{F}_q)$ ($O_{2n}^-(\mathbf{F}_q)$) and $\mathfrak{o}(V^+)$ $(\mathfrak{o}(V^-))$ by $\mathfrak{o}_{2n}^+(\mathbf{F}_q)$ $(\mathfrak{o}_{2n}^-(\mathbf{F}_q))$ respectively. Let $SO_{2n}^+(\mathbf{F}_q) = O_{2n}^+(\mathbf{F}_q) \cap SO_{2n}(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q)$.

Corollary 4. (i) *The nilpotent $O_{2n+1}(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q)$-orbit $(\lambda_1)_{\chi(\lambda_1)}^{m_1} \cdots (\lambda_k)_{\chi(\lambda_k)}^{m_k}$ in $\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q)$ decomposes into 2^{n_1} $O_{2n+1}(\mathbf{F}_q)$-orbits in $\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}(\mathbf{F}_q)$.*

(ii) *If $\chi(\lambda_i) = \lambda_i/2$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, the nilpotent $O_{2n}(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q)$-orbit $(\lambda_1)_{\chi(\lambda_1)}^{m_1} \cdots (\lambda_k)_{\chi(\lambda_k)}^{m_k}$ in $\mathfrak{o}_{2n}(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q)$ remains one $O_{2n}^+(\mathbf{F}_q)$-orbit in $\mathfrak{o}_{2n}^+(\mathbf{F}_q)$; otherwise, it decomposes into 2^{n_2-1} $O_{2n}^+(\mathbf{F}_q)$-orbits in $\mathfrak{o}_{2n}^+(\mathbf{F}_q)$ and 2^{n_2-1} $O_{2n}^-(\mathbf{F}_q)$-orbits in $\mathfrak{o}_{2n}^-(\mathbf{F}_q)$.*

Here n_1, n_2 are as in Proposition 3.

Remark 5. If $\chi(\lambda_i) = \lambda_i/2$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, then n is even. If $\chi(\lambda_i) \neq \lambda_i/2$ for some i, then $n_2 \geq 1$.

Using Corollary 4, we can give a bijective proof of the following proposition.

Proposition 6. (i) *The number of nilpotent $O_{2n+1}(\boldsymbol{F}_q)$-orbits in $\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}(\boldsymbol{F}_q)$ is $p_2(n)$.*

(ii) *The number of nilpotent $O^+_{2n}(\boldsymbol{F}_q)$-orbits in $\mathfrak{o}^+_{2n}(\boldsymbol{F}_q)$ is $\frac{1}{2}p_2(n)$ if n is odd and is $\frac{1}{2}(p_2(n)+p(\frac{n}{2}))$ if n is even.*

Here $p_2(k)$ is the number of pairs of partitions (α,β) such that $|\alpha|+|\beta|=k$ and $p(k)$ is the number of partitions of the integer k.

Corollary 7. *The number of nilpotent $SO^+_{2n}(\boldsymbol{F}_q)$-orbits in $\mathfrak{o}^+_{2n}(\boldsymbol{F}_q)$ is $\frac{1}{2}p_2(n)$ if n is odd and is $\frac{1}{2}p_2(n)+\frac{3}{2}p(\frac{n}{2})$ if n is even.*

Let $G=SO_N(\mathbf{k})$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G is $\mathfrak{o}_N(\mathbf{k})$. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the set of all pairs $(\mathrm{c},\mathcal{F})$ where c is a nilpotent G-orbit in $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is an irreducible G-equivariant local system on c (up to isomorphism). Let a be the number of irreducible representations of the Weyl group W of G. We show that the number of elements in $\mathcal{N}$ is equal to a. To see this we can assume $\mathbf{k}=\bar{\mathbf{F}}_2$. In this case for q a power of 2, let $G(\mathbf{F}_q)$, $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ be the fixed points of a split Frobenius map $\mathfrak{F}_q$ relative to $\mathbf{F}_q$ on G, $\mathfrak{g}$. From Proposition 6 (i) and Corollary 7 we see that the number of nilpotent $G(\mathbf{F}_q)$-orbits in $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ is equal to a. Pick representatives $x_1,\cdots,x_M$ for the nilpotent G-orbits in $\mathfrak{g}$. If q is large enough, the Frobenius map $\mathfrak{F}_q$ keeps x_i fixed and acts trivially on $Z_G(x_i)/Z_G^0(x_i)$. Then the number of $G(\mathbf{F}_q)$-orbits in the G-orbit of x_i is equal to the number of irreducible representations of $Z_G(x_i)/Z_G^0(x_i)$ hence to the number of G-equivariant irreducible local systems on the G-orbit of x_i.

Assume G_{ad} is an adjoint group over $\mathbf{k}$ of the same type as G and $\mathfrak{g}_{ad}$ is the Lie algebra of G_{ad}. Let $G_{ad}(\mathbf{F}_q)$, $\mathfrak{g}_{ad}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ be defined like $G(\mathbf{F}_q)$, $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbf{F}_q)$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{ad}$ is not isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}$. But the number of nilpotent $G_{ad}(\mathbf{F}_q)$-orbits in $\mathfrak{g}_{ad}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ is the same as the number of nilpotent $G(\mathbf{F}_q)$-orbits in $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbf{F}_q)$. In fact, we have a morphism $G\to G_{ad}$ which is an isomorphism of abstract groups and an obvious bijective morphism $\mathcal{U}\to\mathcal{U}_{ad}$ between the nilpotent variety $\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ and the nilpotent variety $\mathcal{U}_{ad}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{ad}$. Thus the nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{ad}$ are in bijection and the corresponding component groups of centralizers are isomorphic. It follows that the number of elements in $\mathcal{N}_{ad}$ (as in the introduction) is equal to the number of elements in $\mathcal{N}$.

Note that the argument in the last two paragraphs also applies for the symplectic group.

Springer correspondence

Assume G_{ad} is an adjoint group of type B_r, C_r or D_r over $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{ad}$ is the Lie algebra of G_{ad}. Let $\mathcal{N}_{ad}$ be as in the introduction. We give the Springer correspondence for $\mathfrak{g}_{ad}$. The following lemma plays an important role in our construction.

Lemma 8. *There exist regular semisimple elements in $\mathfrak{g}_{ad}$ and they form an open dense subset in $\mathfrak{g}_{ad}$.*

Remark 9. This lemma is not always true if the group is not adjoint.

Fix a Borel subgroup B and a maximal torus $T\subset B$ in G_{ad}. Let $W=N_{G_{ad}}(T)/T$ be a Weyl group of G_{ad}. Denote the Lie algebra of B by $\mathfrak{b}$ and the Lie algebra of T by $\mathfrak{t}$. Let $\mathfrak{t}_0$ be the set of regular elements in $\mathfrak{t}$ and Y be the set of regular semisimple elements in $\mathfrak{g}_{ad}$. By Lemma 8, the closure $\bar{Y}$ of Y in $\mathfrak{g}_{ad}$ is $\mathfrak{g}_{ad}$. Let $\widetilde{Y}=\{(x,gT)\in Y\times G_{ad}/T|\mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})(x)\in\mathfrak{t}_0\}$. Define $\pi:\widetilde{Y}\to Y$ by $\pi(x,gT)=x$. We have that π is a principal W-bundle, hence $\pi_!\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{l\widetilde{Y}}$ is a well defined local system on Y and thus the intersection cohomology complex $IC(\mathfrak{g}_{ad}, \pi_! \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{l\widetilde{Y}})$ is well defined. Let $X = \{(x, gB) \in \mathfrak{g}_{ad} \times G_{ad}/B | \mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})x \in \mathfrak{b}\}$. Define $\varphi : X \to \mathfrak{g}_{ad}$ by $\varphi(x, gB) = x$.

Proposition 10. *$\varphi_! \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX}$ is canonically isomorphic to $IC(\mathfrak{g}_{ad}, \pi_! \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{l\widetilde{Y}})$.*

We have $\mathrm{End}(\varphi_! \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX}) = \mathrm{End}(\pi_! \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{l\widetilde{Y}}) = \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l[W]$. Let $\hat{W}$ be a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of simple W-modules. We have a canonical decomposition $\varphi_! \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX} = \bigoplus_{\rho \in \hat{W}} (\rho \otimes (\varphi_! \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX})_\rho)$. Set $\bar{Y}^\omega = \{x \in \bar{Y} | x \text{ nilpotent}\}$.

Theorem 11. *Let $d_0 = \dim G_{ad} - \dim T$. For any $\rho \in \hat{W}$, there is a unique $(\mathrm{c}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{N}_{ad}$ such that $(\varphi_! \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{lX})_\rho|_{\bar{Y}^\omega}[d_0]$ is $IC(\bar{\mathrm{c}}, \mathcal{F})[\dim \mathrm{c}]$ regarded as a simple perverse sheaf on $\bar{Y}^\omega$ (zero outside $\bar{\mathrm{c}}$), where $\bar{\mathrm{c}}$ is the closure of c in $\bar{Y}^\omega$. Moreover, $\rho \mapsto (\mathrm{c}, \mathcal{F})$ defines a bijective map $\gamma : \hat{W} \to \mathcal{N}_{ad}$.*

A corollary is that in this case there are no cuspidal local systems similarly defined as in [4]. This result does not extend to exceptional Lie algebras. (In type F_4, characteristic 2, the results of [7] suggest that a cuspidal local system exists on a nilpotent class.)

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank Professor George Lusztig for his guidance and encouragement during this research.

References

[1] Hesselink W H (1979) *Math Z* 166: 165-181.
[2] Spaltenstein N (1982) *Math Z* 181: 31-48.
[3] Springer T A (1976) *Invent Math* 36: 173-207.
[4] Lusztig G (1984) *Invent Math* 75: 205-272.
[5] Lusztig G (2004) *Represent Theory* 8: 72-124 (electronic).
[6] Kato S (2006) http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0607478v1.
[7] Spaltenstein N (1984) *J Fac Sci Univ Tokyo Sect IA Math* 30: 517-524.

Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
E-mail address: `txue@math.mit.edu`